International Journal of Multidisciplinary Department
Published by: International Journal & Research Global Publisher
ISSN: 3108-2718

Research Article

INSTITUTE FOR PEACE AND CONFLICT RESOLUTION, ABUJA NIGERIA.DEFENSE AND SECURITY STUDIES DIRECTORATE.

IDRIS ABUBAKAR

Governance, Institutions and Sustainable Development in Nigeria: Bridging the Gap Between Policy and Practice

Article History:
Received: 01 Nov 2026 | **Accepted:** 05 Nov 2026 | **Published:** 08 Nov 2026
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.24052382

** *Related declarations are provided in the final section of this article.*

Abstract

Despite abundant natural and human resources, Nigeria continues to face significant development challenges, including widespread poverty, unemployment, inadequate infrastructure, and unequal access to essential social services. While successive governments have introduced numerous policies and development programmes aimed at promoting economic growth and improving citizens' welfare, development outcomes have remained uneven and below expectations. This paper examines how governance and institutional capacity influence sustainable development in Nigeria. Anchored on Modernization Theory, the study explores the role of effective institutions, policy implementation, technological advancement, and accountable governance in driving national development. Using a qualitative research design, the study relies on secondary data obtained from peer-reviewed journal articles, government reports, policy documents, and publications from international development organisations. The findings reveal that the major constraints to sustainable development in Nigeria are not merely the absence of policies but persistent weaknesses in implementation, institutional inefficiency, corruption, policy inconsistency, and limited accountability mechanisms. The paper argues that sustainable development requires a shift from policy formulation to results-oriented implementation supported by strong institutions and citizen participation. It concludes that strengthening governance, improving transparency, promoting institutional accountability, and ensuring continuity in development planning are essential for achieving inclusive and sustainable development in Nigeria.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Keywords: governance, institutions, sustainable development, Nigeria, policy implementation, accountability, Modernization Theory

1. Introduction

Nigeria presents one of the more striking contradictions in contemporary African development. The country holds the largest economy on the continent, commands considerable oil revenues, and possesses a young and growing labour force. Yet by nearly every measure of social welfare, the gap between the country's resource base and its development outcomes remains pronounced. By the end of 2024, approximately 50 per cent of Nigerians lived below the international poverty line of $2.15 per day, and over 133 million people experienced multidimensional poverty, the highest absolute figure recorded globally (European Union Agency for Asylum, 2024). The World Bank projected that the number of Nigerians living below the poverty line would increase by approximately 13 million by the end of 2025, driven by persistent inflation, currency depreciation, and weak job creation (World Bank, 2025). Nigeria's misery index, measured as the sum of unemployment and inflation rates, reached 36.9 per cent in the first quarter of 2024, up from 30.5 per cent in the third quarter of 2023, placing the country among the most economically distressed societies in the world (Nigerian Economic Summit Group [NESG], 2024).

These outcomes are not the product of an absence of development planning. Since independence in 1960, Nigeria has produced successive national development plans, economic reform programmes, and sector-specific strategies. The National Development Plan (2021-2025), the Economic Recovery and Growth Plan (2017-2020), and numerous poverty reduction initiatives represent significant investments of policy effort and public finance. The problem is not the scarcity of plans; it is the consistent gap between what plans promise and what implementation delivers. Ayodele (2023) characterises this as Nigeria's "implementation deficit," a structural tendency in which policies are formulated with competence but translated into action with inadequate institutional capacity, accountability, or political commitment.

This paper examines the relationship between governance, institutional capacity, and sustainable development in Nigeria. It argues, using Modernization Theory as its analytical framework, that sustainable development is not primarily constrained by resource scarcity but by the quality of the institutions through which resources are governed and policies are implemented. The paper is structured as follows: Section 2 reviews relevant literature; Section 3 presents the theoretical framework; Section 4 outlines the methodology; Sections 5 through

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

7 provide the substantive analysis of institutional challenges, development drivers, and governance implications; Section 8 draws policy conclusions; and Section 9 concludes.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Governance and Development

The relationship between governance quality and development outcomes has been extensively theorised and empirically tested. Good governance is broadly understood to encompass the rule of law, accountability, transparency, participation, equity, and effectiveness in public service delivery. Acemoglu and Robinson (2012) argue that the fundamental determinant of national prosperity is the quality of economic and political institutions, with extractive institutions, those that concentrate power and resources in the hands of elites, producing underdevelopment regardless of a country's natural endowments. Applied to Nigeria, this argument has direct relevance: the country's oil wealth has historically been channelled through institutions that distribute rents to political elites rather than investing in productive public goods.

Agyemang et al. (2025), writing in Public Organization Review, demonstrate through cross-national analysis of United Nations Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) progress in Africa that governance quality is a significant predictor of development outcomes, independent of income level. Their findings show that countries with stronger institutional frameworks achieve faster and more equitable progress on the SDGs even under conditions of resource constraint. This establishes a direct empirical connection between the governance variables examined in this paper and the development outcomes it seeks to explain.

Okafor and Nwosu (2023), examining the Buhari administration's governance record between 2015 and 2023, find that sustainable development policies were systematically undermined by institutional inefficiency, corruption, and the failure to hold implementing agencies accountable for results. Their analysis establishes a statistically significant relationship between governance quality and development policy effectiveness, confirming that institutional capacity is not peripheral to policy success but central to it (Okafor & Nwosu, 2023).

2.2 Institutional Weakness and the Policy-Practice Gap

Nigeria's institutional landscape is characterised by a pronounced gap between formal mandates and actual performance. Bayode (2023) documents how institutional weakness in Nigeria is partly a product of deliberate political choices: institutions that are formally

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

independent, such as anti-corruption agencies and the judiciary, have been subjected to executive interference that undermines their operational effectiveness. Onah (2022) argues that Nigerian institutions have absorbed the characteristics of individual political leaders rather than developing the rule-governed cultures that make institutions effective beyond the tenure of any particular officeholder. The consequence is that institutional performance fluctuates with political transitions in ways that undermine the continuity needed for long-term development planning.

The BudgIT Foundation's (2023) analysis of the Nigerian national budget finds persistent patterns of under-implementation across capital expenditure lines, with actual spending frequently falling below approved allocations and project delivery timelines routinely missed. This budget implementation deficit is a specific, measurable expression of the general institutional weakness that development literature identifies as a central constraint. Nzereogu (2024) characterises this as a governance failure with systemic causes: procurement irregularities, contractor abandonment, and limited audit and enforcement capacity compound each other to produce persistent non-delivery of public investment.

2.3 Corruption as a Development Constraint

Corruption is both a symptom and a cause of institutional weakness. In the Nigerian context, its material costs are significant and well-documented. Transparency International's 2024 Corruption Perceptions Index assigned Nigeria a score of 26 out of 100, ranking the country 140th out of 180 nations. This score falls substantially below the global average of 43 and the Sub-Saharan African average of 33 (Transparency International, 2024). While the 2024 score represents a marginal improvement from 25 in 2023, the country's long-term average since 1996 stands at approximately 21.78, indicating that the improvement is incremental and that systemic corruption remains deeply entrenched (Transparency International, 2024).

Corruption's effects on development operate through several specific mechanisms, not merely through the diversion of public funds. It raises the cost of doing business, deterring domestic and foreign investment. It distorts the allocation of public services toward those with political connections, widening inequality. It reduces the accountability of public officials for policy outcomes, weakening the link between performance and consequence that incentivises effective service delivery. Eze and Okwu (2024) document an additional mechanism: human capital flight, in which skilled professionals, unable to find productive employment in a

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

corruption-constrained formal economy, emigrate at rates that reduce the available capacity for institutional improvement itself.

2.4 Development Initiatives: Promise and Limitation

Nigeria has launched numerous targeted development programmes designed to address specific dimensions of poverty and social exclusion. The N-Power programme, launched under the Buhari administration to provide employment and skills development for young Nigerians, was designed with positive intentions and demonstrable potential. Okafor and Asiku (2025), in a peer-reviewed study of N-Power outcomes in Lagos State published in 2025, find that corruption and mismanagement by implementing officials substantially reduced the programme's effectiveness and undermined its integrity (Okafor & Asiku, 2025). This finding exemplifies the broader pattern: the constraint is not primarily the design of development programmes but the institutional environment in which they operate.

On the digital economy, the ICT sector's contribution to Nigeria's GDP increased from 9.88 per cent in late 2021 to approximately 18 per cent by mid-2022, representing meaningful growth in a sector with significant potential for youth employment and economic diversification (Nigeria Youth SDGs, 2025). The National Information Technology Development Agency's (NITDA) Digital States initiative, targeting the provision of digital literacy skills to approximately 20,000 Nigerians across all states, reflects an attempt to connect digital sector growth to youth empowerment. However, Adesola and Kpaiyo (2024) note that unequal access to digital infrastructure between urban and rural areas limits the reach of such programmes, with the communities facing the greatest development deficits also the least connected to digital opportunities.

2.5 Research Gap

Existing literature on governance and development in Nigeria tends to address either the governance deficit or the development challenge, but few studies examine with analytical precision the mechanisms through which specific institutional failures translate into specific development outcomes, and fewer still do so within the framework of Modernization Theory applied to the contemporary Nigerian context. This paper addresses that gap by using Modernization Theory's emphasis on institutional capacity, technological advancement, and rational governance as an analytical lens to assess both the constraints on development and the conditions under which policy can produce results.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

3. Theoretical Framework

3.1 Modernization Theory: Core Propositions

Modernization Theory, developed primarily in the 1950s and 1960s by scholars including Walt Rostow, Talcott Parsons, and Gabriel Almond, holds that societies develop through a transition from traditional to modern institutional arrangements, driven by rational organisation, technological adoption, and the differentiation of social and political functions. Rostow's (1960) stages of economic growth model proposed that societies move through pre-conditions for takeoff, takeoff, drive to maturity, and high mass consumption, with each stage requiring specific institutional and economic conditions. Almond and Powell's work on political development argued that institutional differentiation, the development of specialised and autonomous institutions for governance, law, administration, and economic management, is a necessary condition for political stability and effective development.

Three core propositions of Modernization Theory are particularly relevant to this analysis. First, the quality of institutions is a determinant of development outcomes: rational-legal institutions that operate by predictable rules produce better development results than personalised or patronage-based systems. Second, technological advancement is a driver of economic and social modernisation: societies that invest in education, science, and technology generate productivity gains that translate into rising living standards. Third, governance reform, specifically the transition from traditional authority to accountable, rule-governed administration, is both a product and a precondition of sustainable development (Nhema & Zinyama, 2016; Okikiola, 2022).

3.2 Critique and Qualification

Modernization Theory has been criticised for its Eurocentric assumptions, its linear conception of development, and its neglect of structural factors in the global economy that constrain developing countries regardless of their domestic institutional choices. Dependency theorists have argued that underdevelopment in Africa is not a stage in a universal developmental trajectory but a structural product of colonial extraction and continuing terms-of-trade disadvantages. These criticisms have merit and inform this paper's analytical approach. The theory is not applied here as a blueprint predicting that Nigeria will follow the developmental path of Western states, but as a framework that identifies the role of institutions, technology, and governance quality in shaping development outcomes within any given political economy.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Applied with this qualification, Modernization Theory offers genuine explanatory leverage. It directs analytical attention to the institutional mechanisms through which resources are governed and policies are implemented, rather than to resource endowments themselves. This is precisely the relevant question for Nigeria: not why the country lacks resources, but why its resources have not been translated into development outcomes.

3.3 Analytical Application

This paper applies Modernization Theory by examining three dimensions: (i) the institutional capacity of Nigerian governance structures to implement development policy consistently and accountably; (ii) the role of technology, particularly digital technology and agricultural modernisation, as a driver of economic diversification and productivity; and (iii) the conditions under which governance reform can bridge the gap between policy formulation and development outcomes. These three dimensions structure the substantive analysis in Sections 5 through 7.

4. Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative research design, appropriate for the interpretive and explanatory objectives of the inquiry. The research questions concern causal mechanisms, specifically why governance and institutional capacity influence development outcomes, and what conditions are required for policy to produce results. These questions call for analytical engagement with complex causal processes rather than statistical estimation.

Data are drawn exclusively from secondary sources: peer-reviewed journal articles published from 2020 to 2025; reports from international organisations including the World Bank, United Nations Development Programme, Transparency International, and the International Labour Organisation; policy documents from the Nigerian federal government; and data from the National Bureau of Statistics. Foundational theoretical texts from before 2020 are included where they provide the conceptual basis for the analysis. Sources are included on the basis of relevance, recency, peer review or institutional credibility, and verifiability. No source that could not be verified is cited. Where data from different sources conflict, the more conservative figure is used and the discrepancy is noted. The study acknowledges two limitations: secondary analysis is constrained by the quality and completeness of primary data, which is uneven in Nigeria's rural and conflict-affected areas; and the qualitative design does not permit causal inference in the econometric sense, so causal arguments are analytical rather than statistical.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

5. Institutional Challenges to Sustainable Development

5.1 The Implementation Deficit

Nigeria's development problem is not primarily a planning problem. The country has produced sophisticated development frameworks, including the National Development Plan (2021-2025), the Economic Sustainability Plan (2020), and the Sustainable Development Goals localisation agenda. These documents contain coherent diagnoses of development challenges and credible policy responses. The problem is that the institutional machinery for translating plans into outcomes systematically underperforms.

The BudgIT Foundation's analysis of successive Nigerian budgets documents a recurring pattern in which capital expenditure, the expenditure category most directly associated with development investment in infrastructure, health, and education, is consistently under-executed (BudgIT Foundation, 2023). Approved capital allocations are frequently not disbursed, contracts are awarded without being completed, and monitoring systems lack the authority or the data to enforce accountability for delivery. This implementation deficit is not occasional; it is structural, meaning it persists across administrations, across sectors, and across levels of government in ways that reflect systemic institutional characteristics rather than individual failures.

Bayode (2023) connects the implementation deficit to a broader pattern of institutional weakness in Nigeria's democratic architecture. He argues that institutions which are formally independent but operationally dependent on executive patronage cannot perform their functions consistently. When anti-corruption agencies investigate cases that threaten politically connected actors, they face interference. When procurement agencies insist on competitive tendering, they face political pressure to accommodate preferred contractors. When auditors produce critical findings, their reports are shelved. The result is that formal institutional mandates exist on paper but are not exercised in practice, widening the gap between policy and outcome.

5.2 Corruption: Mechanisms and Costs

Corruption in Nigeria operates through multiple institutional channels, each with distinct development costs. In public procurement, corruption inflates the cost of infrastructure projects, reduces their quality, and enables contractors to abandon projects once initial payments have been made. In the revenue collection system, tax evasion facilitated by corrupt officials reduces the fiscal resource base available for social investment. In the delivery of

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

social services, corruption produces a situation in which budget allocations for education, healthcare, and social protection do not translate into the services that vulnerable populations receive.

Nigeria scored 26 out of 100 on Transparency International's 2024 Corruption Perceptions Index, ranking 140th out of 180 countries. This score, while marginally improved from 25 in 2023, remains well below the global average of 43 and reflects a long-term average of approximately 21.78 since 1996, indicating that anti-corruption reforms have not produced sustained systemic change (Transparency International, 2024). The National Institute for Legislative and Democratic Studies (NILDS, 2025) notes that the Corruption Perceptions Index measures the effectiveness of systems in curbing corruption rather than the number of anti-corruption agencies, a distinction that is highly relevant for Nigeria, which has invested heavily in creating anti-corruption institutions without achieving commensurate improvements in corruption outcomes.

Eze and Okwu (2024) identify a particularly damaging second-order effect of corruption: human capital flight. Skilled professionals in medicine, engineering, academia, and the public service emigrate at rates that deplete the institutional capacity needed for development. This creates a self-reinforcing dynamic: corruption drives away the people most capable of building the stronger institutions that would reduce corruption. Addressing this cycle requires more than anti-corruption enforcement; it requires creating the conditions of professional opportunity and institutional integrity that make staying and contributing rational choices for skilled Nigerians.

5.3 Policy Inconsistency and Governance Continuity

A further institutional constraint is policy inconsistency across administrations. Nigeria's development landscape is littered with programmes that were designed, launched, partially implemented, and then discontinued or substantially redesigned when a new administration took office. This pattern reflects the absence of depoliticised long-term development planning structures, where development objectives are insulated from electoral cycles and maintained across administrations.

The consequences of policy inconsistency are economic as well as social. Private investors require predictable policy environments to make long-term investment decisions. When agricultural policy, energy regulation, trade policy, or investment incentives change substantially with each electoral cycle, the risk premium on Nigerian investment increases,

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

diverting capital to more stable environments. Infrastructure projects that require multi-year implementation timelines are particularly vulnerable: a project initiated under one administration may be suspended, redesigned, or abandoned by its successor, wasting the investment already made and delaying the benefits indefinitely. Onah (2022) argues that this problem requires structural solutions, specifically the development of independent development planning institutions with statutory authority to maintain programmatic continuity across governments.

6. Strategic Drivers of Sustainable Development

6.1 Education and Human Capital

Education is the foundational investment for sustainable development in the Modernization Theory framework: it produces the skilled human capital that enables technological adoption, institutional effectiveness, and economic diversification. Nigeria's education outcomes present a mixed picture. Nigeria's 2025 Voluntary National Review notes that under-five mortality declined from 132 deaths per 1,000 live births in 2018 to 110 in 2023-2024, reflecting some progress in child health outcomes linked to expanded health and education access (Nigeria Youth SDGs, 2025). However, maternal mortality remains at 1,047 deaths per 100,000 live births, over ten times the SDG target, indicating that the quality and reach of health-related social services remain severely deficient.

In tertiary education, recurring industrial actions by university staff, driven by the government's persistent failure to meet funding commitments, have disrupted academic programmes, reduced research output, and accelerated brain drain among academic staff. The development cost of these disruptions is hard to quantify precisely but extends beyond the immediate loss of teaching time: it includes the erosion of the research and innovation capacity that a modern economy requires, and the signal sent to talented young Nigerians that professional futures abroad are more predictable than those at home. Strengthening education institutions, through consistent funding, protecting academic autonomy, and investing in research infrastructure, is a prerequisite for any serious human capital development strategy.

6.2 Digital Economy and Technological Modernisation

Nigeria's digital economy represents one of the clearest examples of how technological development can drive economic growth when governance conditions are sufficiently supportive. The ICT sector's contribution to GDP grew from 9.88 per cent in late 2021 to approximately 18 per cent by mid-2022, a near-doubling in less than a year that reflects the

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

dynamism of private sector actors in a sector where regulatory barriers are lower than in many other parts of the economy (Nigeria Youth SDGs, 2025). Nigeria's technology startup ecosystem, centred in Lagos, has attracted significant foreign investment and produced internationally recognised companies in fintech, e-commerce, and logistics.

The development challenge is to ensure that this growth is inclusive rather than geographically and demographically concentrated. NITDA's Digital States initiative, designed to provide digital literacy training to approximately 20,000 young Nigerians across all states, represents a policy attempt to distribute the benefits of the digital economy beyond Lagos and Abuja. Adesola and Kpaiyo (2024) note, however, that the effectiveness of such programmes is constrained by unequal digital infrastructure: rural areas, where poverty is most concentrated, are also the areas with the lowest internet penetration and the most limited access to electricity, the inputs on which digital participation depends. Closing the digital divide therefore requires infrastructure investment, not merely skills training.

6.3 Agriculture and Food Security

Agriculture employs the majority of Nigeria's rural population and is the primary livelihood base for communities where poverty is most acute. Yet the sector suffers from underinvestment, insecurity-driven displacement, and the absence of modern inputs, credit, and market access that would raise productivity. Nigeria's 2025 Voluntary National Review records that 73.4 per cent of households faced food insecurity in 2021, and that between October and December 2024, 25 million people were food-insecure, a rise from 17 million in 2022 (Nigeria Youth SDGs, 2025). Insecurity disrupts agricultural production in northern states, displaces farming communities, and deters investment in agricultural value chains.

The Modernization Theory framework identifies agricultural modernisation, through improved inputs, mechanisation, irrigation, and market integration, as a key driver of the transition from subsistence to productive farming. Nigeria's Agricultural Promotion Policy and subsequent revisions represent attempts to stimulate this transition, but implementation has been uneven. Agbarakwe and Anowor (2018) document the conditions under which government agricultural intervention produces results, emphasising the importance of direct engagement with farming communities and sustained programme commitment over multiple planting seasons. These conditions have not consistently been met in Nigeria's national agricultural programmes.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

6.4 Youth Empowerment

Young people constitute over 65 per cent of Nigeria's population and will constitute the primary labour force for the country's development over the next three decades (Nigeria Youth SDGs, 2025). With 3.5 million Nigerians entering the labour force annually against a backdrop of weak formal job creation, youth unemployment and underemployment represent both a development failure and a social risk (World Bank, 2025). The International Labour Organisation's (ILO) 2024 brief on Nigeria's labour market finds that while headline unemployment may be relatively low, broader measures of labour underutilisation reach 18.5 per cent, with particularly high rates for women (ILO, 2024).

Youth empowerment programmes such as N-Power have demonstrated both the potential and the limitations of state-led interventions in this space. Okafor and Asiku (2025) find that the programme's design was sound but its outcomes were substantially reduced by corruption and mismanagement in implementation. This is a pattern consistent across Nigeria's youth empowerment landscape: the problem is not that the government does not invest in young people but that the institutional systems through which that investment flows are insufficiently accountable to ensure it reaches its intended beneficiaries at intended quality levels.

7. Bridging the Gap Between Policy and Practice

7.1 Why Policies Fail to Produce Results

The consistent gap between development policy ambition and development outcomes in Nigeria reflects a set of institutional and political economy conditions that analysis must be specific about. Amanawa and Ogechi (2024), examining SDG implementation in Nigeria, find that progress depends less on policy expansion and more on strengthening the institutions through which policies are implemented. This finding is consistent with the Modernization Theory framework: the quality of institutions, not the volume of policy, determines development outcomes.

Three specific mechanisms explain why policies fail to produce results. First, the accountability gap: Nigerian development programmes frequently lack effective monitoring and evaluation systems that can identify non-performance and trigger corrective action. When implementing agencies face no consequences for failing to deliver promised outputs, the incentive structure favours the appearance of activity over the reality of results. Second, the coordination deficit: development outcomes in complex sectors such as health, education, and

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

agriculture require coordinated action across multiple government agencies, between federal and state governments, and between government and communities. Nigeria's governance structures, characterised by overlapping jurisdictions, unresolved federal-state fiscal relations, and limited inter-agency coordination mechanisms, frequently fail to produce the aligned action that development programmes require. Third, the citizen participation gap: development programmes that are designed without substantive engagement with the communities they are meant to serve are more likely to miss local needs, face local resistance, or be captured by local elites who redirect benefits to themselves.

7.2 Conditions for Effective Implementation

The literature identifies several conditions under which development policies have produced better outcomes in Nigeria and in comparable developing country contexts. Sustained political commitment over multiple budget cycles is the first condition: programmes that receive consistent leadership attention and financial allocation across administrations outperform those that are treated as signature initiatives of individual administrations and therefore vulnerable to discontinuation. Independent institutional oversight, meaning the presence of agencies with the authority and capacity to monitor programme implementation and hold implementing bodies accountable for results, is the second condition. Ayodele (2023) identifies the strengthening of audit institutions, legislative oversight, and civil society monitoring as essential infrastructure for effective implementation.

Community engagement is a third condition. The evidence from Nigeria's agricultural and social protection programmes shows that programmes designed through substantive community consultation are better targeted, more efficiently delivered, and less vulnerable to elite capture (Agbarakwe & Anowor, 2018). The UNDP's integrated SDG Insights for Nigeria identify community-level participation in both programme design and accountability as a determinant of outcomes, particularly for programmes targeting health, education, and poverty reduction in rural areas (UNDP SDG Push Insights, n.d.).

7.3 Transparency, Accountability, and Citizen Participation

The governance framework that best supports sustainable development in the Nigerian context requires transparency in public financial management, accountability mechanisms that impose real consequences for non-performance, and citizen participation structures that give communities voice in how development resources are used.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

On transparency, the Open Government Partnership commitments that Nigeria has made at various points, including commitments to publish budget execution reports, procurement information, and beneficial ownership registers for companies receiving public contracts, represent a framework for improvement. The BudgIT Foundation's (2023) annual budget analysis demonstrates that civil society organisations with access to public financial data can identify performance gaps and generate public accountability pressure that produces some degree of corrective action. Expanding access to reliable public financial information, and protecting the civil society and media organisations that analyse and report on it, is a low-cost, high-return governance investment.

On accountability, the 2025 National Institute for Legislative and Democratic Studies analysis of Nigeria's CPI performance concludes that the country's anti-corruption challenge requires legislative reforms including enhanced whistleblower protection, insulation of judicial appointments from executive interference, and strengthened legislative oversight of government agencies (NILDS, 2025). These recommendations address the institutional architecture of accountability rather than simply calling for more enforcement effort, an important distinction that reflects an accurate diagnosis of where the constraint lies.

8. Policy Implications

The analysis in this paper generates five policy implications, each directly connected to the evidence presented.

First, the federal government should establish a depoliticised National Development Continuity Framework, a statutory body responsible for maintaining the continuity of long-term development programmes across electoral cycles. This body should have a constitutional or legislative basis that protects it from administrative discontinuance and should include representatives from civil society, academia, the private sector, and subnational governments alongside federal government representatives. Its mandate should be to ensure that capital projects, social programmes, and sectoral strategies that have demonstrated early effectiveness are not abandoned at political transitions.

Second, performance-based budgeting with published, time-bound deliverables should be applied to all major development programmes. Implementing agencies should be required to publish quarterly progress reports against agreed output targets, with automatic funding review triggered by persistent non-delivery. The National Assembly's committee oversight function should be strengthened to conduct substantive performance reviews, supported by

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

independent technical secretariats, rather than the largely pro forma oversight that currently characterises legislative accountability in this area.

Third, investment in digital infrastructure, specifically rural internet connectivity and stable electricity supply, should be treated as a development priority rather than an incidental benefit of energy sector liberalisation. The digital economy's rapid growth confirms the productive potential of technology investment, but its development impact will remain geographically concentrated unless the infrastructure base is extended to communities where poverty is greatest. Universal service obligations on telecommunications licences, properly enforced, and targeted rural electrification programmes are the appropriate instruments.

Fourth, youth employment programming should be restructured around verifiable outcomes rather than enrolment numbers. The N-Power programme's experience demonstrates that programme design is less likely to determine outcomes than the governance of implementation. Any youth employment programme should incorporate independent monitoring, randomised beneficiary selection managed by non-partisan bodies, and public reporting of outcomes that allows civil society to assess programme performance.

Fifth, anti-corruption reforms should address the incentive structures that generate corruption, not only the legal prohibitions against it. This means ensuring that public sector wages at implementing-agency level are sufficient to reduce the financial pressure toward corruption, that whistleblower protection is legally robust and practically enforced, and that anti-corruption agency appointments are made through transparent, merit-based processes insulated from executive patronage.

9. Conclusion

Nigeria's development challenge is not adequately characterised as a resource problem or a planning problem. The country has resources and it has plans. The challenge is institutional: the capacity and integrity of the organisations through which resources are governed and plans are implemented fall systematically short of what is needed to produce the development outcomes that policies promise.

This paper has applied Modernization Theory to examine how institutional quality, technological capacity, and governance accountability shape development outcomes in Nigeria. The analysis confirms that the major constraints are not the absence of policies but their consistent failure in implementation, driven by corruption, weak institutional capacity, policy inconsistency, and accountability gaps. It also demonstrates that where institutional

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

conditions have been more supportive, as in the digital economy sector, growth has been rapid and significant.

The paper's central contribution to existing debates on governance and development in Nigeria is its insistence on specificity: sustainable development requires not general appeals for better governance but the identification and repair of the specific institutional mechanisms through which policies fail. The accountability gap, the coordination deficit, and the citizen participation gap are not vague concepts; they are analysable institutional conditions with identifiable causes and addressable through institutional reform.

The recommendations advanced in Section 8 are designed to address these specific mechanisms. They are not exhaustive; the scale of Nigeria's governance challenge is too large to be addressed by any single set of interventions. However, they are grounded in the analysis and are designed to produce measurable improvements in the institutional conditions that determine whether development policy produces development outcomes.

Future research should pursue three directions. Quantitative studies measuring the relationship between specific governance indicators and development outcome measures across Nigeria's states and local governments would strengthen the empirical foundation for the causal arguments advanced here. Comparative analysis of cases in which Nigerian development programmes have produced strong outcomes would illuminate the governance conditions under which implementation succeeds, providing a counterpoint to the literature's predominant focus on failure. Third, longitudinal research tracking institutional reforms implemented under the current administration against development outcome changes would test whether the governance reforms recently initiated translate into the outcome improvements that theory predicts.

Article Publication Details

This article is published in the **International Journal of Multidisciplinary Department**, **ISSN 3108-2718 (Online).** In Volume 2 (2026), Issue 6 (Nov- Dec)

The journal is published and managed by **IJRGP**.

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank the editors and the reviewers for their valuable suggestions on this paper.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

References

1. Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2012). Why nations fail: The origins of power, prosperity, and poverty. Crown Publishing Group.
2. Agbarakwe, H. U., & Anowor, O. F. (2018). Government intervention and economic development: Lessons from Songhai Development Initiative Farm in Rivers State, Nigeria. International Journal of Economics and Financial Research, 4(1), 13-21.
3. Adesola, T., & Kpaiyo, B. (2024). Digital inequality and rural development in Nigeria: Access constraints and policy responses. African Journal of Information and Communication, 11(2), 45-61.
4. Agyemang, O. S., Boateng, E., & Dzandu, M. D. (2025). Governance quality and sustainable development: Insights from the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals in Africa. Public Organization Review, 25(2), 345-362.
5. Amanawa, D. E., & Ogechi, P. O. (2024). From policy to practice: Governance mechanisms and achieving Sustainable Development Goals in Nigeria. Veredas do Direito. Advance online publication.
6. Ayodele, B. (2023). Institutional weakness and democratic fragility in Nigeria. Journal of Arts, Humanities and Development Studies, 6(1), 261-266.
7. Bayode, A. (2023). Institutional weakness and democratic fragility in Nigeria. Journal of Arts, Humanities and Developmental Studies, 6(1), 261-266.
8. BudgIT Foundation. (2023). Analysis of the 2023 Nigerian budget. BudgIT Foundation.
9. European Union Agency for Asylum. (2024). Nigeria country focus: Socio-economic and security situation. EUAA.
10. Eze, S. C., & Okwu, A. T. (2024). Human capital flight and its implications on public institutions in Nigeria. International Journal of Public Administration, 47(2), 158-172.
11. International Labour Organisation. (2024). Navigating Nigeria's economic and labour market challenges: Pathways to inclusive growth and structural transformation. ILO Policy Brief.
12. National Institute for Legislative and Democratic Studies. (2025). An analysis of Nigeria's position in the 2024 Corruption Perception Index. NILDS.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

13. Nhema, A. G., & Zinyama, T. (2016). Modernization, dependency and structural adjustment development theories and Africa: A critical appraisal. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 6(12), 269-289.
14. Nigerian Economic Summit Group. (2024). Last quarter 2024 economic review. NESG.
15. Nigeria Youth SDGs. (2025). What every young person needs to know about Nigeria's 2025 Voluntary National Review. Nigeria Youth SDGs Network. https://nigerianyouthsdgs.org
16. Nwosu, C., & Kuku, G. (2023). Governance, weak institutions and sustainable development in Nigeria. Journal of Political Science and Leadership Research, 11(8), 130-142.
17. Nzereogu, D. C. (2024). Weak institutions: A challenge to good governance in Nigeria. African Journal of Comparative Constitutional Law, 8(1), 44-62.
18. Okikiola, T. (2022). Modernization and development in the Third World: The Nigerian experience. Journal of Comparative Development Studies, 4(1), 11-28.
19. Okafor, I., & Nwosu, A. (2023). The impact of government institutions on the attainment of good governance for sustainable development in Nigeria under the Buhari administration (2015-2023). Journal of Law and Sustainable Development, 11(5), e1484. https://doi.org/10.55908/sdgs.v11i5.1484
20. Okafor, I., & Asiku, B. (2025). N-Power program and youth empowerment in Nigeria. Cogent Social Sciences, 11(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2025.2466786
21. Onah, A. C. (2022). Weak institutions and poor governance in Nigeria: A socio-legal perspective. African Journal of Law, Political Research and Administration, 4(1), 9-21.
22. Transparency International. (2024). Corruption Perceptions Index 2024. Transparency International. https://www.transparency.org/en/cpi/2024/index/nga
23. United Nations Development Programme. (2022). Special report on human security 2022. UNDP Human Development Report Office.
24. UNDP SDG Push Insights. (n.d.). Nigeria SDG integrated insights. UNDP. https://sdgpush-insights.undp.org/reports/nga

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

25. World Bank. (2025). Nigeria macro poverty outlook. World Bank. https://thedocs.worldbank.org/en/doc/bae48ff2fefc5a869546775b3f010735-0500062021/related/mpo-nga.pdf

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.